

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,319	07/23/2001	Jeremy Mitts	MEDIA P-3 CIP	3594
28752 7590 01/29/2007 LACKENBACH SIEGEL, LLP LACKENBACH SIEGEL BUILDING 1 CHASE ROAD SCARSDALE, NY 10583			EXAMINER .	
		·	YENKE, BRIAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	<u> </u>
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asticus Occurrence	09/911,319	MITTS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRIAN P. YENKE	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieties or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amer	ndment (04 Dec 06)					
	action is non-final.					
		osecution as to the merits is				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 21-34 and 41 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 21-34 and 41 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce)) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 04 Dec 06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's Arguments

a) Applicants states that Corey has no server for such such all broadcast material text in a database, and a server would serve no useful purpose. Applicant's states that there is "no all broadcast material text database."

Examiner's Response

a) The examiner agrees that Corey does not disclose a server as stated in the rejection below, however Corey does disclose the storage Corey does disclose the storage of text files both in closed caption storage 72 and generator 212. Hullinger was incorporated to show that broadcast material text can be stored in a server. Thus the concept of storing such data in a storage, generator and/or server are conventional features in the art as described below, wherein the selection of a particular storage technique provides the results that are expected (i.e. there are no unexpected results by the selection/use of either one).

The examiner notes that the applicant has been granted patents on similar subject matter wherein the current claims do not distinguish themselves from the art below as did the previous now patented claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,319 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corey, US 5,703,655 in view of Hullinger et al., US 6,295,092.

In considering claims 21-22, and 26-29, 33-34,

- a) the claimed a tuner... is met by tuner receiver 24 (Fig 2), wherein Corey discloses that tuner/receiver 24 may be remotely located (col 3, line 37-51) in addition to the use of a plurality of receiver-tuners (col 4, line 60-61) wherein the receiver-tuner 24 may be used by a plurality of users (col 5, line 1-4). The tuner-receiver 24 receives RF signals from a video source 28 which may be wireless or cable broadcasting of closed caption programming (e.g. news reports and/or movies) (col 3, line 37-42).
- b) the claimed a decoder is met by closed caption decoder 52 (Fig 2)
- c) the claimed a text handler is met by closed caption formatter 204 (Fig 2) which transforms all closed caption data into a common format and outputs the caption data to at least a video retrieval index generator 212 and optionally to close caption storage 72 (col 5, line 31-57).

 e) the claimed a previously submitted search profile... is met by user input device 76 (Fig 1) which is input/saved into the system (at a second time) prior to receipt of such broadcasts (first time).

f) the claimed processing means... is met by video retrieval system 20 where the closed-caption data is evaluated using engine 232, which evaluates a users/operators request using a context-free query evaluator and a semantic query evaluator 240 (Fig 7). The text thus stored in the storage 72, may contain any predetermined letters or characters defined by the search string implemented by a user.

However, Corey does not explicitly recite the use of a server (limitation d). Corey does disclose the storage of text files both in closed caption storage 72 and generator 212.

Corey discloses a video retrieval system 20 which can interact with a plurality of users, plurality of video signal sources/tuners, where the control module 60/formatter 204 transforms all closed caption input data into a common input and output the data to at least an index generator 212 and optionally to a closed caption storage 702 (Fig 1, 2). Corey also discloses a control module 60 which receives the decoded closed caption data via decoder 52 and transforms all closed caption input data into a common closed caption format (col 5, line 31-34).

Hullinger et al., US 6,295,092 discloses a system for analyzing television programs, where a capture machines 14, 16 and 18 which capture the video, audio as well as the closed captioned data and correspondingly the machines break the news stories into segments and classify the contents accordingly. The machines 14, 16 and 18 then transfer the analyzed data to the user interface 24 via server 20.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify, Corey, which discloses the acquisition/retrieval/transmission of video/audio/cc data, where a plurality of users can access closed caption storage 72 via control

module 60, with Hullinger, to utilize control module 60 as a server which can also provide data/retrieval to a plurality of users.

In considering claims 23-24 and 30-31,

Corey does not specifically disclose the printable document having information that identifies the inquiry client. Corey does disclose that the documents are identified by titles indicating the category.

The annotation in a search/retrieval system which identifies the requester and the source of the information is conventional in the art. The examiner relies on Hullinger which discloses that the broadcast source is identified in the generated results.

Regarding the document including the inquiry client, both Corey and Hullinger disclose transmitting to the user the information requested. Thus although the record/text might not include the name/inquiry client, the transmission of the document to the appropriate user out of a plurality of users, performs identification.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Corey and Hullinger which provide a search resultant television system which allows the user(s) to search/retrieve desired information, and transmitting back to the user the requested/desired information, by also including on the document the user requesting the information, in the event more than one user is utilizing the same computer/PC, which would readily provide the results to the appropriate user.

In considering claims 25, and 32,

Application/Control Number: 09/911,319

Art Unit: 2622

Neither Corey nor Hullinger disclose an embedded link. However, the use of an embedded link provided to a user to access additional information is widely known and conventional in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Corey and Hullinger which provide a search resultant television system which allows the user(s) to search/retrieve desired information, and transmitting back to the user the requested/desired information, by also including an embedded link in the information provided to the user to allow the user access to additional information if available.

In considering claim 41,

Both Corey and Hullinger disclose the broadcast material comprising news and text corresponding to the user's desired search request.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/911,319

Art Unit: 2622

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Page 7

date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The

examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone

number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the

United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available

by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

Application/Control Number: 09/911,319

Art Unit: 2622

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS). PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pregrant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B.P.Y *V* 24 January 2006